Copolymers

Synthesis and Anionic Polymerization of 2-1sopropenylquinoline

B. Freund and H.-J. Cantow

Institut für Makromolekulare Chemie der Universität Freiburg, Hermann-Staudinger-Haus. Stefan-Meier-StraBe 31, D-7800 Freiburg, Federal Republic of Germany

Herrn Prof. Dr. C. I. Simionescu zu seinem 65. Geburtstag herzlich **gewidmet**

Summary

2-1sopropenylquinoline has been synthesized, and anionic homopolymerizationhas been performed with n-butyllithium and with dibutylmagnesium, yielding polymers with high glass transition temperatures, with M_n ranging from 3700 to 210300. Molecular heterogeneities have been determined by GPC. M_w has been measured by light scattering. The glass transition temperature for infinite molecular weight is 475 K. The ceiling temperature, 367 K, was calculated from $1H-MMR$ spectra recorded from living poly-(2-isopropenylquinoline) at different temperatures. Twoand threeblock-copolymers have been obtained by initiating 2-isopropenylquinoline with living polybutadiene.

Introduction

2-1sopropenylquinoline (2-1PCh) disappeared into oblivion after failing to homopolymerize or to copolymerize this monomer in 1948 1 , 2). Basing on recent knowledge it has been supposed that 2-1PCh may be accessible to anionic polymerization, analogous to other isopropenyl substituted aromates.

In order to ensure the purity required for anionic polymerization, a new synthesis for 2- IPCh had to be developed.

Experimental

Monomer Synthesis Synthesis Route

Quinoline-2-carbonic acid ethylester

100 ml (0.58 tool) quinoline-2-carbonic acid is heated under reflux for 5 hrs with 250 ml absolute ethanol and 22 ml (0.41 mol) conc. H₂SO₄, under moisture exclusion. 20 g molecular sieve, 3 A, is added to the homogenous solution after 2.5 hrs. The alcohol is removed essentially by distillation, and the residual is poured into ice water, neutralized with Na2CO3 and extracted with CH2CI2. After drying over MgSO₄ and removal of solvent by distillation the product is distilled under oil pump vacuum. Conversion 77.3 g = 70.5%, $Kp_3 = 132^{\circ}C$

2-Acetylquinoline

10.8 g (0.45 mol) NaH is weighted as $55%$ wax dispersion. The wax is removed by decanting with n-pentane. Under N_2 as protection gas a mixture of 77.3 g (0.41 mol) quinoline-2-carbonic acid ethylester and 39.8 g (0.45 mol) acetic ester is poured quickly into a suspension of the NaH in about 100 ml hexane. The reaction is started by careful heating under stirring, with subsequent cooling with methanol / dry ice. The yellow die formed under vigorous foaming is dissolved in 25% H₂SO₄ (3 mol per mol ester), washed in hexane twice and heated directly for 1 hr to 100° C for decarboxilation. After neutralization with Na₂CO₃ extraction is performed with CH₂Cl₂, with subsequent drying over MgSO₄ and removal of the solvent by distillation. From the residual red solid the product is received by sublimation in the form of white crystals. Conversion 38.5 $q = 56\%$, Fp = 52° C

 $1H-NMR: \delta = 7.5 - 8.5$ ppm (aryl), $\delta = 2.95$ ppm (methyl). FTIR: 1688 cm⁻¹ (C=O)

2-Isopropenylguinoline

Three sets of experiments have been examined. The optimal conditions are described:

1.54 g NaH (0.064 mol) are applied as a 55% waxy dispersion, the wax being removed as described before. 30 ml dry DMSO are added dropwise under a nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring for 1 hr at 80^oC and ending of H₂-development 24.3 g (0.068 mol) methyl triphenyl phosphonium bromide in 50 ml DMSO is added at room temperature. 10.8 **g (0.068 mol)** 2-acetylquinoline in concentrated DMSO-solution is dropped than into the freshly prepared ylide solution at 0° C. After further stirring 2 hrs in the ice bath and for 12 hrs at 65° C the solution is poured into 75 ml water, and the product is extracted with pentane. After drying over $Na₂SO₄$ and distilling the solvent a slightly yellow coloured oil is obtained, which contains about 5% 2-acetylquinoline besides the 2-isoprenylquinoline. For the removal of the remaining ketone the raw product is stirred for 24 hrs at room temperature in 40 ml methanol with 1.7 q (0.044 mol) N aBH μ and 0.17 g (0.003 mol) N aOCH₃. After largely removing the solvent by distillation the product is extracted with pentane after adding 50 ml water. After drying with $Na₂SO₄$ and distilling of the solvent 7.3 g product remained. Very pure 2-1PCh is obtained by sublimation, in the form of nice colourless crystals.

Conversion 6 g = 56% , Fp = 33° C

 1 H-NMR: δ = 7.2-8.2 ppm (aryl), δ = 5.45 and 5.9 ppm (vinyl) and δ = 2.4 ppm (methyl) FTIR: no absorption at 1688 cm^{-1}

Polymerization

About 10 ml degassed toluene, dryed over styryl lithium, is condensed each time onto 0.5 g 2-1PCh in a high vacuum line. After degassing the solution twice n-butyllithium (1.6 ml solution in hexane, Merck Nr. 818874) is added by injection in N₂ countercurrent at room temperature. The fastly developed deep red-violet solution is polymerized at -78° C for 1 hr. The living polymeric anions are terminated with few methanol, and the polymer is precipitated in methanol. Two samples have been polymerized with dibutylmagnesium (0.6 ml solution in heptane, Alfa Nr. 89746), following the procedure as described.

NMR - Analysis for the Determination of the Ceiling- Temperature

In a vacuum line 4.7 ml perdeuterated toluene are dryed with styryllithium and degassed and condensed subsequently onto 319 mg (1.9 mmol) repeatedly sublimated 2-1PCh, in a graduated ampoule with fused on NMR tube. The monomer solution is degassed twice again, and polymerization is initiated by injecting four drops of n-butyllithium solution (1.6 ml in hexane) in N_2 counter-current. The reaction ampoule containing the living polymer solution is melted off in vacuo. Part of the solution is poured into the NMR-tube, which is melted off also. Temperature variable 1 H-spectra are recorded and evaluated with this living polymer solution of known concentration.

Results and Discussion

Characterization of Polymers

Number average molecular weights have been determined by vapour pressure osmosis in $CHCl₃$, besides the high molecular one. Molecular heterogeneities and weight average molecular weights have been analyzed by GPC, with calibration via polystyrene. For the high molecular weight sample M_W has been determined by light scattering. The refractive index increment has been measured with a differential refractometer: 0.30 ml/g in THF at 436 nm at 25°C. For this high molecular weight sample, M_w = 463000, M_n = 210000, the second virial coefficient of osmotic pressure, A_2 , has been found $4.17 \cdot 10^{-5}$ ml/g⁻², the quadratic radius of gyration <s²> = 2.53 \cdot 10¹¹cm². Molecular weights and heterogeneities are presented in the table, besides tacticities and glass transition temperatures.

The polymers are colourless powders, which are soluble in benzene, toluene, CH_2Cl_2 , CHCI₃ and THF, swell in ethylmethyl ketone, are not soluble in acetone, ethanol and aliphatic hydrocarbons. The molar extinction coefficient is $\varepsilon = 2927$ l/mol·cm in THF for $\lambda = 319$ nm.

Table 1: Molecular weights, tacticities and glass transition temperatures of the PIPCh's

Glass transition temperatures, T_q, have been determined via DSC. Values between 437 and 473 K have been extrapolated for zero heating rate. The plot T_a versus 1/M_n is linear within experimental error (Figure 1), corresponding to the data for poly-(2 isopropenylnaphthaline) (494 K ³⁾). Extrapolation to infinite molecular weight yields T_{α} = 475 K, this value being situated between those for a-methylstyrene (458 K ⁴) 5) and poly-(2-isopropenylnaphthaline) (494 K) ³⁾.

The evaluation of the proton resonances of the a-methyl group turned out to be favourable for evaluation of tacticity ^{6)–10)}. Consequently, we tried to evaluate the tacticity of poly-(2-isopropenylquinoline) by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy, in dependence on molecular weight and gegenion, during anionic polymerization. Spectra were recorded in perdeuterated nitrobenzene at 400 K (Figure 2). Assignment of triad signals of the ~-methvl group has been performed in an analogous way to poly-(c~-methylstyrene} ^{6) 9) 10)} and poly-(2-isopropenlynaphthaline) ³⁾: Isotactic triads are absorbing at 1.2 ppm, heterotactic ones at 0.8 and syndiotactic ones at 0.4 ppm.

Figure 1: Glass transition temperature versus reciprocal M_n for poly-(2-isopropenylquinoline)s

Figure 2: 300 MHz $1H-NMR$ spectra of poly-(2-isopropenylquinoline)s. Upper trace: $M_n =$ 4500 (Bu₂Mg), lower trace: $M_n = 3700$ (Buli)

Additionally it has been also tried to assign the methylene signals between 1.5 and 2.5 ppm to the respective triads by comparison with the spectra as interpreted via methyl group resonances: Thus, syndiotactic triads are absorbing at 2.4, heterotactic ones at 2.2 and isotactic ones at 1.6 ppm. The triad concentrations as derived by integration of the methyl signals are given in the table for four of the polymers.

All PIPCh samples are essentially atactic. Mg as gegenion favours syndiotactic triads, whereas Li favours isotactic ones. Atactic triads are ranging around 50% in all cases. Isotactic triads are favoured with increasing molecular weight, in a similar way as for poly- $(\alpha$ -methylstyrene) ⁶⁾ and for poly- $(2-i$ sopropenylnaphthaline) 3).

Ceiling **Temperature**

The equilibrium concentration of monomer, [M] , is connected with the enthalpy of polymerization, ΔH_{SS} , and the entropy of polymerization, ΔS_{SS} , by

$$
\Delta G_{SS} = RT \ln [M] = \Delta H_{SS} - T \Delta S_{SS} \quad . \tag{1}
$$

 ΔG_{SS} is the free enthalpy of polymerization and R the gas constant. The index ss denominates a I m monomer solution and dissolved polymer as the reference state. At the ceiling temperature T_c $\Delta G_{SS} = 0$ or $[M] = 1$ mol/l must be valid per definition. The equilibrium concentration of monomer is determined at different temperatures. From the plot In [M] versus $1/T \Delta H_{ss}$, ΔS_{ss} and T_c can be determined graphically from intercept, slope and extrapolation of the abscissa towards In [M] = 0.

From the living PIPCh solutions prepared as described (c = 0.402 mol/l) 90 MHz ¹H-NMR spectra are recorded at different temperatures. The momomer content is calculated from the integrated olefinic doublet at 5.7 ppm. The results are given in table 2.

The plot In $[M]$ versus $1/T$ (Figure 3) shows the expected linearity at the lower temperatures, before the data bend downwards shortly before reaching the concentration applied. The ceiling temperature is determined as T_c = 367 K by extrapolation towards In [M] = 0. The enthalpy of polymerization is ΔH_{ee} = - 22.5 J/mol, the entropy ΔS_{SS} = - 61.4 J/mol[.]K. In table 3 these values are compared with the data of the known homologous monomers ¹¹⁾.

Figure 3; Graphic of polymerization equilibrium of 2-isopropenylquinoline

Blockcopolymers with Butadiene

By initiating 2-1PCh with living polybutadienyl anions in toluene diblock copolymers are obtained. Because, vice versa, living poly-(2-1PCh) does not initiate butadiene in toluene, different triblock copolymers have been obtained by coupling 2-1PCh with living polybutadiene, which has been started bifunctionally in THF. Details of the synthesis and of the properties of those interesting thermoreversible elastomers will be reported in a following paper.

Acknowledgement: Financial support from the AIF is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1. B. Bachman and L. V. Heisey, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 70, 2378 (1948)
- 2. B. Bachman, L. J. Filar, R. W. Finholt, L. V. Heisey, H. M. Hellman
- L. L. Lewis and D. D. Micucci, Ind. Eng. Chem. 43, 997 (1951)
- 3. D. Engel and R. C. Schulz, Makromol. Chem. 182, 3279 (1981)
- 4. J.M.G. Cowie and P. M. Toporowski, Eur. Polym. J. 4, 621 (1968)
- 5. H.-G. Elias and V. S. Kamat, Makromol. Chem. 117, 61 (1968)
- 6. K.F. Elgert and E. Seller, Makromol. Chem. 145, 95 {1971)
- 7. K. F. Elgert, R. Wicke, B. Stützel and W. Ritter, Polymer 16, 465 (1975)
- 8. Y. Inoue, A. Nishioka and R. Chujo, Makromol. Chem. 156, 207 (1972)
- 9. K. Fujii, D. Worsfold and S. Bywater, Makromol. Chem. 117, 275 (1968)
- 10. S. Brownstein, S. Bywater and D. Worsfold, Makromol. Chem. 48, 127 (1961)
- 11. H. Hopf and H. Lüssi, Makromol. Chem. 62, 31 (1963)

Accepted July 1st, 1985 C